

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/796,395	Applicant(s) SEO, JONG-WON
	Examiner SHIRLEY X. ZHANG	Art Unit 2444

All Participants:

(1) SHIRLEY X. ZHANG.

(2) Robert Griffith (Reg. No. 48,956).

Date of Interview: 21 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 7 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

Status of Application: RCE

(3) William Vaughn (SPE).

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 4/16/2009, Examiner Zhang called Applicant's attorney Mr. Griffith to suggest moving subject matter in claims 4 and 7 into claim 1 for consideration of allowance. Mr. Griffith agreed to request for the inventor's approval; On 4/21/2009, Examiner Zhang, Examiner's Supervisor Vaughn and Mr.Griffith communicated again over the phone. Mr. Griffith informed that the applicant did not agree to the amendments proposed by Examiner Zhang.